



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,714	03/21/2007	Allan J. Block	1-36885	3836
43935 7590 06/30/2009 FRASER CLEMENS MARTIN & MILLER LLC 28366 KENSINGTON LANE PERRYSBURG, OH 43551				
EXAMINER KANG, PAUL H				
ART UNIT 2444		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 06/30/2009		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

miller@fraser-ip.com
sloan@fraser-ip.com
clemens@fraser-ip.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/559,714

Applicant(s)

BLOCK, ALLAN J.

Examiner

Paul H. Kang

Art Unit

2444

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Paul H. Kang.

(3) _____.

(2) Jacob Ward, Reg. No. 56754.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-8, 10 and 13-22.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mayle, Selgel, Giordano.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The claims were discussed in light of the prior art of record. Applicant proposed to amend the claims to further clarify that the verification step includes is based on the input site location further comprising a predefined group of input sites consisting of the input sites. The examiner will determine whether any newly amended claims overcome the prior art of record in due course.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Paul H Kang/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2444